

CABINET

16 DECEMBER 2022

REFERENCE FROM COUNCIL

A.1 PETITION: GREEN SPACE DEVELOPMENT AND SALE – HOLLAND HAVEN

PART 1 – KEY INFORMATION

PURPOSE OF THE REPORT

To formally report the receipt of a petition submitted in relation to the potential sale or development of the green spaces by The Gap and at Haven Avenue/The Esplanade in Holland-on-Sea.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

An e-petition submitted by Sharon Tyler, as lead petitioner, was received on 8 October 2022. The petition was signed by 171 persons and stated:-

“We the undersigned petition the Council to not sell or develop the green spaces by The Gap and at Haven Avenue/The Esplanade in Holland-on-Sea.”

Asset management is an executive function and therefore the Cabinet is the appropriate body to consider this matter.

In accordance with the Council’s adopted Scheme for Dealing with Petitions the receipt of this Petition was reported, for Members’ information, to the meeting of the Full Council held on 22 November 2022. This matter has now been investigated and a report prepared and presented to the Cabinet on the basis that the Petition contained between 30 and 249 signatures.

Having discussed the petition it will be for Cabinet to decide what action, if any, will be taken.

RECOMMENDATION(S)

That, having duly considered the Petition together with the information provided in this report, the Cabinet decides what action, if any, it wishes to take.

REASON(S) FOR THE RECOMMENDATION(S)

To comply with the adopted scheme for dealing with petitions, as set out in the Council’s Constitution.

ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

There are several courses of action available to the Cabinet once the petition has been considered, including:

- *No action (with reasons as to why no action is proposed)*
- *Taking the action requested in the petition*
- *Taking an alternative or amended course of action to that requested in the petition (with reasons as to why such action is being taken)*
- *Undertaking research into the matters raised (this could include referring the matter to the relevant Portfolio Holder, or officer of the Council) and holding a meeting with the petitioners.*
- *Referring the petition to a Committee or an external person/body (such as the County Council or Government body or Public Services or the Ombudsman or Member(s) of Parliament)*
- *Holding a public meeting*
- *Holding an inquiry*
- *Providing a written response to the lead petitioner setting out the Council's views on the request in the petition*
- *Deferring consideration of the petition to a future Cabinet meeting*
- *Calling for a referendum (if permitted under legislation and subject to costs)*

PART 2 – IMPLICATIONS OF THE DECISION

DELIVERING PRIORITIES

In respect of the Corporate Plan 2020/24 Priority Themes the Committee's decision will contribute to:

- Strong Finances and Governance (and specifically using assets to support priorities).

LEGAL REQUIREMENTS (including legislation & constitutional powers)

Asset Management is an executive function that is delegated by the Leader of the Council to the Cabinet collectively and, individually, to the Corporate Finance & Governance Portfolio Holder (depending on the nature of the decision required) in schedule 3 of part 3 of the Council's Constitution. The overall function of the Council's Executive is the discharge, in accordance with the Council's policy framework all functions of the Council (including "local choice functions") except those functions which cannot by virtue of the Local Authorities (Functions and Responsibilities) (England) Regulations 2000 (as amended) and other legislation, be the responsibility of the Executive.

FINANCE AND OTHER RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

Not applicable.

USE OF RESOURCES AND VALUE FOR MONEY

External Audit expect the following matters to be demonstrated in the Council's decision making:

- A) Financial sustainability: how the body plans and manages its resources to ensure it can continue to deliver its services;*
- B) Governance: how the body ensures that it makes informed decisions and properly manages its risks, including; and*
- C) Improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness: how the body uses information about its costs and performance to improve the way it manages and delivers its services.*

As such, set out in this section the relevant facts for the proposal set out in this report.

The following are submitted in respect of the indicated use of resources and value for money indicators:

A) Financial sustainability: how the body plans and manages its resources to ensure it can continue to deliver its services;	Not Applicable in this instance.
B) Governance: how the body ensures that it makes informed decisions and properly manages its risks, including; and	Nothing in addition to those matters already set out in the report.
C) Improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness: how the body uses information about its costs and performance to improve the way it manages and delivers its services.	Not Applicable in this instance.

MILESTONES AND DELIVERY

Not Applicable in this instance.

ASSOCIATED RISKS AND MITIGATION

Not Applicable in this instance.

OUTCOME OF CONSULTATION AND ENGAGEMENT

The Council's adopted Scheme for Dealing with Petitions states, inter alia:-

- Receipt of a petition will be formally acknowledged to the lead petitioner in writing or by email as appropriate, within five working days of its receipt.
- The appropriate Ward Member(s) will be informed of receipt of a petition and when and how the petition will be considered.

- When a petition is being considered by Cabinet or Council/Committee...the lead petitioner will be invited to address the Cabinet or Council/Committee, outlining the reasons for the submission of the petition and what action they would like the Council to take. The lead petitioner (or his or her representative) will have a time limit of three minutes for their speech and the petition will then be discussed by Councillors. A Ward Councillor can, at the request of the lead petitioner, present the petition to Cabinet or Council/Committee on behalf of the relevant petitioners.
- The lead petitioner will be informed, in writing, of the Cabinet or Council's decision and this information will also be published on the Council's website via the Minutes of the relevant meeting at which the petition was dealt with. If a further meeting is to be held to consider the issues raised in the petition, the lead petitioner will be supplied with the relevant details and will also be given the opportunity to attend and address that meeting and if appropriate, answer any questions posed at the meeting.

EQUALITIES

In line with the Public Sector Equality Duty, public bodies such as the Council must, in the exercise of their functions, give due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation, to advance equality of opportunity and foster good relations between those who share a protected characteristic and those who do not.

The protected characteristics are age, disability, gender reassignment, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity, race religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation.

Not Applicable in this instance.

SOCIAL VALUE CONSIDERATIONS

There are no social value considerations relevant to this report having regard to the Public Services (Social Value) Act 2012.

IMPLICATIONS FOR THE COUNCIL'S AIM TO BE NET ZERO BY 2030

There is no impact on the Council's aim for its activities to be carbon neutral by 2030 including the actions, policies and milestones in its Climate Change Action Plan.

OTHER RELEVANT CONSIDERATIONS OR IMPLICATIONS

Set out what consideration has been given to the implications of the proposed decision in respect of the following and any significant issues are then set out below.

Consideration has been given to the implications of the proposed decision in respect of the following and any significant issues are set out below.

Crime and Disorder	None
Health Inequalities	None
Area or Ward affected	ST BARTHOLOMEWS Ward

PART 3 – SUPPORTING INFORMATION

ASSISTANT DIRECTOR (BUILDING & PUBLIC REALM)'S ASSESSMENT AND ADVICE

Following a motion put to the full Council in November 2020 by Councillor Placey the Cabinet requested a review of Council owned assets that could be used for the construction of new Council homes or used or released in return for capital receipts in order to support Council priorities.

As part of that exercise sites in Holland-on-Sea were identified for further consideration along with a number of other sites throughout the District. A total of 69 sites were identified, with three already pending action after earlier decisions.

A report for Cabinet consideration was prepared identifying the sites and inviting determination of in respect of which of them to commence the property dealing procedure. Three previously identified sites were identified for priority disposal action, two of the then identified sites were identified for action.

On 15 July 2022 Cabinet agreed the identified priority actions and decided to progress with the property dealing procedure in relation to all of the identified sites.

Officers have begun to progress the identified priority actions, as resources permit, but no detailed assessment of any of the other sites has yet been undertaken.

On 04 November 2022 Cabinet considered a report outlining the Council's financial outlook including a number of housing and property investment requirements that could not be funded.

It is likely that looking forward it will be increasingly necessary to practice asset management in order to deliver property and other obligations and aspirations.

Section 123 of the Local Government Act 1972 provides that any proposal for disposal of open space must be advertised in the local press and representations taken into account. Any planning application will necessitate statutory and neighbour consultation and due consideration to any responses. Both of these would happen at a later stage in the property dealing process. Cabinet may wish to note the petition, thank the petitioner and request that these views and others are taken into account as the property dealing procedure unfolds, subject to available resources.

Portfolio Holder's Comment:

"The sites at Holland on Sea have been identified as part of a process to review potential development or other options throughout the District. They should not be considered in isolation or outside of that process. No decision has yet been taken to build on or dispose of any land. This process was begun following questions raised at the full Council and has consumed considerable time and effort to get to this stage. Given the Council's financial position and aspirations for housing and public space improvement the Authority must look towards careful use and rationalisation of its properties in order to reduce costs, avoid clinging to unproductive space and facilitate investment in services and facilities."

BACKGROUND

An e-petition submitted by Sharon Tyler, as lead petitioner, was received on 8 October 2022.

The petition was signed by 171 persons and stated:-

“We the undersigned petition the Council to not sell or develop the green spaces by The Gap and at Haven Avenue/The Esplanade in Holland-on-Sea.”

The receipt of this Petition was reported, for Members’ information, to the meeting of the Full Council held on 22 November 2022.

PREVIOUS RELEVANT DECISIONS TAKEN BY COUNCIL/CABINET/COMMITTEE ETC.

Cabinet Meeting on 15 July 2022 - Minute 40 - Cabinet Members’ Items – Report of the Corporate Finance & Governance Portfolio Holder – A.5 – Initiation of the Property Dealing Procedure in order to explore the Development Potential of various areas of Council Land

“RESOLVED that Cabinet -

- (a) *agrees to initiate the Property Dealing Procedure in respect of each of the areas identified in Appendix A to the Portfolio Holder’s report;*
- (b) *agrees that priority action be taken in relation to bringing forward proposals for further decision in relation to the potential development of the sites at Fernlea Road, Harwich and Seaview Close, Little Oakley, as contained in the appendix and subject to the Council’s Corporate Priority actions;*
- (c) *determines a prioritised list of the other sites, having regard to:*
 - i) the likely ease or otherwise of completing disposal actions with limited resources;*
 - ii) the identified need for social housing provision in the area;*
 - iii) the number of potential dwellings identified;*
 - iv) the potential level of capital contribution to other priorities;*

all to be subject to further decision in relation to the allocation of resources required; and
- (d) *requests that Officers bring forward processes and ultimately reports for further decision in respect of the freehold disposal of sites at Crome Road Clacton-on-Sea, Dover Road, Brightlingsea and Burrows Close, Clacton-on-Sea.”*

BACKGROUND PAPERS AND PUBLISHED REFERENCE MATERIAL

E-Petition to the Council submitted by the Lead Petitioner (Ms. Sharon Tyler).

Report of the Corporate Finance & Governance Portfolio Holder (A.5) – Initiation of the Property Dealing Procedure in order to explore the Development Potential of various areas of Council Land – submitted to Cabinet on 15 July 2022.

Published Minutes of the Cabinet meeting held on 15 July 2022.

APPENDICES

None

REPORT CONTACT OFFICER(S)

Name

Ian Ford

Job Title

Committee Services Manager

Email/Telephone

iford@tendingdc.gov.uk
(01255) 686584